UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

PARTY 123,				
Plaintiff,	Case No.:			
VS.	Honorable Sean F. Cox			
PARTY XYZ,	Magistrate Judge			
Defendant.				
Attorney	Attorney			
FIRM	FIRM			
ADDRESS ADDRESS	ADDRESS ADDRESS			
TELEPHONE NUMBER	TELEPHONE NUMBER			
Attorneys for Plaintiff	Attorneys for Defendant			
	ND PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER leral Rules of Civil Procedure, the parties to this			
•	unsel, shall jointly submit this Rule 26(f) Report			
-	miser, shan Johnny shount this Ruic 20(1) Report			
and Proposed Scheduling Order:				
I. RULE 26(f) CONFEREN	NCE.			
Pursuant to Rule 26(f), the partie	es held a meeting on, which			
was attended by the following attorneys:				
Attorney	Attorney			
FIRM	FIRM			
ADDRESS	ADDRESS			

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

II. SUBJECTS AND NATURE OF DISCOVERY

A. DISCOVERY BY PLAINTIFF

[Plaintiff to list topics on which it wants discovery: For example, "Plaintiff will need to conduct discovery on the issues of patent infringement, damages, and any defenses raised by Defendant."]

B. DISCOVERY BY DEFENDANT

[Defendant to list topics on which it wants discovery: For example, "Defendant will need to conduct discovery on infringement, validity, damages, and other defenses."]

C. ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION

[Parties to specify the scope and any limits concerning discovery of ESI, the format for any production of ESI, and actions to be taken to preserve ESI, etc. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3) and 26(b)(2)(B).]

III. DISCOVERY SCHEDULE

A. FACT DISCOVERY

Fact discovery shall commence on _____ and be served no later than [Approximately 6-8 Months for Fact Discovery]. Discovery shall begin on all discoverable issues and shall not be limited to claim interpretation. Discovery shall include any relevant opinions of counsel if Defendant intends to rely upon an opinion of counsel as a defense to a claim of willful infringement.

B. RULE 26(a)(1) INITIAL DISCLOSURES

The parties will exchange the initial discovery disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1) by [w/in 14 days of Rule 26(f) Conference].

C. DEADLINE TO ADD PARTIES

The	deadline	for adding	parties is	_
1110	acadinic	ICI WWWIII	parties	•

D. DISCLOSURE INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS

The patentee must serve disclosures of the following information by [w/in 14] days from date of scheduling order] :

- 1. Each patent claim that is allegedly infringed by each opposing party;
- 2. For each asserted claim, the accused product of each opposing party of which the patentee is aware. This identification shall be as specific as possible. Plaintiff shall identify each accused product by name or model number, if known.
- 3. A chart identifying specifically where each limitation of each asserted patent claim is found within each accused product, including for each limitation that such party contends is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112 \P 6, the identity of the structure(s), act(s), or material(s) in the accused product that performs the claimed function.
- **4.** Whether each claim limitation of each asserted claim is claimed to be literally present or present under the doctrine of equivalents in the accused product.

E. DISCLOSURE OF INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS

Any party asserting invalidity or unenforceability claims/defenses must serve disclosures containing the following by [w/in 1 month of infringement contentions]:

- 1. Each item of prior art that allegedly anticipates each asserted claim or renders it obvious. For prior art that is a document, a copy of the document should be provided to the patentee's counsel or be identified by Bates Number if it was previously produced. As to prior art that is not documentary in nature, such prior art shall be identified with particularity (by "who, what, when, and where" etc.) as to publication date, sale date, use date, source, ownership, inventorship, conception and any other pertinent information.
- 2. Whether each item of prior art anticipates each asserted claim or renders it obvious. If a combination of items or prior art makes a claim obvious, each such combination, and the reason why a person of ordinary skill in the art would combine such items must be identified.
- 3. A chart identifying where specifically in each alleged item of prior art each limitation of each asserted claim is found, including for each claim limitation that such party is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 6, the identity of the structure(s), act(s), or material(s) in each item of prior art that performs the claimed function; and

4. For any grounds of invalidity based on 35 U.S.C. § 112 or other defenses, the party asserting the claim or defense shall provide its reasons and evidence why the claims are invalid or the patent unenforceable. Such positions shall be made in good faith and not simply *pro forma* arguments.

F. NON-INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS

On or before <u>[w/in 1 month of plaintiff's infringement contentions]</u>,

Defendant shall serve non-infringement contentions, which shall explain the factual basis for its allegations that it does not infringe the patent-in-suit either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, including identifying what claim limitations that it believes are not present in the accused products.

G. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION PROCEEDINGS

Pursuant to the decision of *Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.*, 116 S. Ct. 1384 (1996), the following procedures will be followed for resolution of claim construction issues in this case.

- 1. INITIAL IDENTIFICATION OF DISPUTED CLAIM TERMS The parties will confer to determine what claim terms may need to be interpreted by the Court by [w/in 1 month of INVALIDITY AND NON-INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS].
- 2. PROPOSED INTERPRETATIONS On or before [w/in 1] month of INITIAL IDENTIFICATION OF DISPUTED CLAIM

TERMS], the parties shall exchange, but not file, a chart or table that lists for each disputed claim term the party's proposed interpretation of the disputed claim term along with citations to the intrinsic and extrinsic evidence (e.g., patent, prosecution history, dictionary definitions, etc.) that supports its interpretation along with a summary of any testimony that is expected to be offered to support that interpretation.

- 3. FINAL IDENTIFICATION OF DISPUTED CLAIM TERMS Within one week after exchanging the claim chart above, the parties shall confer again about the claim terms in dispute. At this meeting, the parties shall attempt to narrow and finalize the claim terms that need to be interpreted by the Court. If, at any time, the parties determine that a claim construction hearing is not necessary, they shall notify the Court in a timely matter. The parties shall set forth separately the construction of those claim terms on which the parties agree.
- 4. TECHNOLOGY TUTORIAL The Court will hold an informal conference with the attorneys on [w/in 1 week of the FINAL IDENTIFICATION OF DISPUTED CLAIM TERMS] at ______ a.m. / p.m. At the conference, the attorneys for each side will explain the technology at issue in the litigation. The conference will not be recorded.

- 5. PLAINTIFF'S OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION

 BRIEF Plaintiff(s) shall file its opening claim construction brief on or before [w/in 1 month from date of exchange of proposed claim interpretations].
- 6. DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE BRIEF Defendant(s) shall file any responsive claim construction brief on or before [w/in 1] month from date of plaintiff's opening brief].
- 7. DRAFT OF PLAINTIFF'S REPLY BRIEF To the extent that Plaintiff intends to file a reply brief on claim construction issues, Plaintiff(s) shall serve, but not file, a good-faith draft of its intended reply brief on opposing counsel [w/in 14 days of the Defendant's response brief]. Plaintiff shall prepare and serve within this time period a draft four-column claim interpretation chart in the form of Exhibit A.
- 8. IN-PERSON MEETING AT THE COURTHOUSE Within [two weeks] from service of the DRAFT OF PLAINTIFF'S REPLY BRIEF, or the time that service would have been due if Plaintiff does not file a reply brief, principal counsel for both parties shall have a face-to-face meeting at the courthouse to discuss the parties' legal theories and proposed claim interpretations in an effort to narrow the disputes and arguments that the Court must resolve. The courthouse has attorney conference rooms available for the meeting.

9. FILING OF FINAL VERSION OF REPLY BRIEF AND CLAIM CHART – Within [one week] of the IN-PERSON MEETING AT THE COURTHOUSE, Plaintiff shall file with the Court and serve on opposing counsel the final versions of its reply brief and the claim chart in the form of Exhibit A. Plaintiff shall set forth in its reply brief any previous claim interpretation disputes that the parties have resolved in the IN-PERSON MEETING AT THE COURTHOUSE. Plaintiff shall also submit a copy of the final version of the claim chart to the Court in WordPerfect format via email as a proposed order through the Court's electronic filing system.

STATUS	CONFERENCE:	The	Court	shall	hold	a	Status
Conference	e on				[to be	set	by the
Court].							

10. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION HEARING – The Court will conduct a claim interpretation hearing on [Parties to leave blank:

Case Manager will schedule ~ 1-2 months from reply brief deadline].

STATUS CONFERENCE: The Court shall hold a Status Conference approximately 30 days after the *Markman* decision.

H. DEADLINE FOR AMENDING INFRINGEMENT, NON-INFRINGEMENT, AND INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS

Each party shall file seasonably amend any infringement, invalidity, or non-infringement contention in accordance with Rule 26(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure upon learning that the contention is incomplete or incorrect. Any amendment to a party's infringement, invalidity, or non-infringement contentions, or other pleading, that is necessary due to the Court's claim interpretation ruling, must be timely made but in no event later than one month after the Court's claim construction ruling.

I. EXPERT DISCOVERY

- 1. Each party shall serve expert reports as required by Rule 26(a)(2), on issues where that party bears the burden of proof, by [w/in 30 days of Markman decision]; on issues where a party does not bear the burden of proof, rebuttal expert reports are due by [w/in 1 month from principal expert report].
- 2. All expert discovery shall commence so as to be completed [w/in 1 month of the rebuttal expert reports].

J. MOTIONS

- 1. All dispositive motions shall be filed on or before [1-2 months after the deadline for expert discovery].
- **2.** All motions in limine shall be filed on or before [Judge Cox's normal preference].

K. WITNESS LISTS AND EXHIBITS

1. The parties shall exchange preliminary witness lists on or before [Judge Cox's normal preference]; final witness lists shall be exchanged on or before [Judge Cox's normal preference].

2. The parties shall exchange preliminary exhibit lists on or before [Judge Cox's normal preference]; final exhibit lists shall be exchanged on or before [Judge Cox's normal preference].

L. PRETRIAL

- 1. The parties will file a Joint Final Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 16.2 on or before [Judge Cox's normal preference].
- **2.** A Final Pretrial Conference will be held pursuant to Local Rule 16.1 on [Judge Cox's normal preference].

M. TRIAL

Trial will begin on [Parties to Propose a Date; Actual date to be set by Case Manager].

SO ORDERED

Dated:	The Honorable Sean F. Cox United States District Court Judge
Attorney (Bar Number) FIRM	Attorney (Bar Number) FIRM
ADDRESS	ADDRESS
ADDRESS	ADDRESS
TELEPHONE NUMBER	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Attorneys for Plaintiff	Attorney for Defendant
Date:	Date:

EXHIBIT A

CLAIM INTERPRETATION CHART

שובputed Claim Term	Plainting Proposed	Detendant's Proposed	Court's Construction
"Torm 1"			
"Term 2"			
"Torm ?"			
"Term 1"			